

Winnipeg Sewage Treatment Program Integrated Management System



HAZOP Procedure

DOCUMENT NUMBER: CD-PD-PC-01

Rev	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Date	Approved by	Date
2013-02-21	Philippe Biesse			Jackie Veilleux	2013-02-21

Distribution:

This Procedure which is for general use within the WST Program is the property of WST Program and may not be copied, distributed or used without the written consent of WST Program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
DISTRIBUTION.....	2
SYNOPSIS.....	2
1. DEFINITIONS/REFERENCES	2
2. RESPONSIBILITIES	2
3. PROCEDURE	3
3.1. <i>Methodology</i>	3
3.1.1. Overview	3
3.1.2. Application to Project Life Cycle	3
3.1.3. Participants	3
3.1.4. Resources.....	4
3.1.5. HAZOP workshop	5
3.1.5.1. Introduction and Training.....	6
3.1.5.2. System Description	6
3.1.5.3. Selecting Nodes.....	6
3.1.5.4. Examining Deviations	6
3.1.5.5. Generating Recommendations	7
3.2. <i>Recording and Reporting</i>	7
3.3. <i>Deviations</i>	8
3.3.1. Typical Deviation Guidewords	9
3.3.1.1. Process Plant Guidewords.....	9
3.3.1.2. Mechanical Plant Guidewords.....	9
3.3.1.3. Typical Overview Deviations.....	10
3.4. <i>Follow up and closing</i>	10
Appendices	12

DISTRIBUTION

There are no restrictions on the distribution/circulation of this procedure within the Program Team.

SYNOPSIS

Purpose

This procedure is designed to provide the general approach to conducting HAZOP studies and workshops. It is expected that the facilitator will have prior training from an accredited organization or equivalent experience in undertaking HAZOP studies and in tailoring the approach to meet the specific requirements of the project. The facilitator's credentials must be submitted to the Project Manager for approval.

The purpose of the HAZOP is to conduct a systematic examination to:

- Review the final plant design to ensure that hazards and operability issues will be minimized.
- Identify potential operability problems that may result in other losses such as reduced capacity or effluent quality.

Scope

This procedure details the preparation, conduct and reporting requirements for undertaking a HAZOP. It does not attempt to address technical engineering matters that are identified during the study.

Background

The HAZOP technique was developed to identify and evaluate safety hazards in a process plant, and to identify operability problems that, although not hazardous, could compromise the plant's ability to achieve design outcomes.

Although originally developed to anticipate hazards and operability problems for new technology it has been found to be very effective in review of existing operations. It is most often used to analyze processes during or after the detailed design stage.

The HAZOP can also be modified to utilize different sets of guidewords for non-process plant related projects. Suggested guidewords for mechanical plant are included in this procedure at section 3.3 Deviations.

1. DEFINITIONS/REFERENCES

Abbreviations

HAZOP - Hazard and Operability Study

P&ID — Process and Instrumentation Diagram

2. RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility	Task		Notes
Project Manager	1	Requirement for HAZOP created	Requirements for a HAZOP should be identified in the Design Safety Plan
Design Manager (Consultant)	2	Initiate HAZOP	Arranges for an experienced independent HAZOP facilitator.
Safety in Design Engineer, or Design Manager (Consultant)	3	Arrange HAZOP	Identifies the necessary participants and resources required. Plans the sessions, venue and supporting materials.
Facilitator	4	Conduct HAZOP	The HAZOP facilitator has overall responsibility for performing the review and for controlling the extent of application including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deciding on the division of the P&ID into elements or nodes suitable for reviewing one at a time. Leading the questioning in accordance with the selected guidewords. Instructing the scribe on what information needs to be recorded. Ensuring that Engineers names are designated to implement the HAZOP actions.
Process Engineer (or lead design engineer) (Consultant)	5	Explain the function and operation of the system.	Should include all process conditions, instrumentation controls, rationale for process equipment selection, start-up and shutdown considerations.
Scribe	6	Records HAZOP information	Scribe needs to be familiar with the HAZOP technique and the software used to capture the HAZOP.
Facilitator	7	Report on HAZOP	
Design Manager (Consultant)	8	Track implementation of recommendations	

3. PROCEDURE

3.1. *Methodology*

3.1.1. Overview

A HAZOP is a systematic, detailed process following a preset agenda conducted by a team comprised of members with a variety of backgrounds and responsibilities, representing all the groups with a responsibility for the operation. For example, a HAZOP of a new project in design would have representatives from design, construction and when possible, the eventual operators and maintenance staff. For HAZOPs of existing facilities, operators and maintenance staff must be included in the study,

Basically, a HAZOP concentrates on exploring the possibility and consequences of deviations from normal or acceptable conditions and in this way forms a "check" of the design.

The study takes the form of a discussion which examines each element of a design or operation and considering a guideword list of possible deviations for each element. For each postulated deviation, an attempt is made to envisage ways in which the deviation could occur and an estimate of possible consequences is recorded. If the severity is sufficient, the deviation is noted as a problem to be resolved. If the resolution is likely to require little discussion, it may be tackled in the workshop. Deviations requiring significant effort for resolution are recorded as recommendations for study outside the workshop.

3.1.2. Application to Project Life Cycle

The most valuable time to conduct a HAZOP is when the detailed design of the P&IDs are effectively complete or frozen. Conducting the study earlier than this may assist designers in identifying the required process controls but will result in reworking or repeating the study later due to incomplete data being available. It is essential that the project team consider this point and ensure that the drawings are in a state that is suitable for the HAZOP.

If it is determined that the design is not adequately defined to perform the study, then it will be necessary to defer examination of that particular section until the problem is rectified. Any attempt to finish off the design during the HAZOP will not be permitted as it undermines the principle of HAZOP to audit a complete design.

After a HAZOP has taken place any modification to the drawings need to be reviewed to determine whether a further HAZOP is required.

3.1.3. Participants

Representatives from all groups involved are required such as design, construction, operation, etc., with the representatives having both technical know-how and sufficient organizational authority to ensure all agreed actions will be implemented. A core HAZOP team of five or six is ideal with a minimum practical size of four and a maximum of nine.

WST Program Team members should be invited to participate in the HAZOP Studies

as well as wastewater facilities operating personnel with relevant plant experience. To be effective, the study shall involve the members of the project team responsible for P&IDs input and development. The team needs to be multi-disciplined with authority to make appropriate decisions.

In a HAZOP Workshop for a new facility, the team shall typically comprise the following:

- senior design engineer;
- design engineer responsible for the section being studied;
- specialists, such as an instrument engineer, mechanical engineer or an electrical engineer or other appropriate discipline engineer;
- project engineer;
- operations superintendent (designate);
- people who will be involved in the operation and construction of the plant;
- a chairman (Project Manager);
- Facilitator
- Scribe.

HAZOPs must be facilitated by a person who is independent from the design team and who has experience in the conduct of a HAZOP workshop.

It is considered essential that the facilitator be separate to the Scribe as it is necessary to have the proceedings led by someone whose prime focus is to facilitate the pace and dynamics of the workshop. The Scribe, whose main task is to record the details during the workshop, needs to be familiar enough with the project and competent to interpret the discussion in deciding the wording to be used in the worksheet.

The Scribe's role is critical to the outcome of the workshop as the words recorded are often the only source of information on which to proceed after the design review. It is therefore highly recommended that the workshop proceedings be projected using a data projector so that the team can agree on the information as it proceeds during the review.

The final composition of the HAZOP team needs to be approved by the Project Manager.

Note, where vendor technology is used the WST Program requires the vendor to have undertaken their own HAZOP. It is possible for the team to review the design and make comments but this should not be done in a formal HAZOP format as the Vendor has this contractual obligation.

3.1.4. Resources

It is recommended that the HAZOP be conducted away from the main design area to prevent distractions. The facility being used should have adequate space for laying out large scale drawings for markup plus a white board and data projector. It is also recommended that HAZOP outcomes be recorded directly onto a computer using a program such as PHA-Pro, and data be projected for team feedback and review.

The content of information required for the study should be agreed in advance with the facilitator. The nature of these documents will be dependent upon the project and what is available. A Pre-HAZOP package should then be developed for issue to the participants prior to the study. Copies of project information such as the following may be required and should be readily available:

- Complete set of P&IDs;
- Operating methodology;
- Cause and Effect Diagrams (if available);
- Safety Philosophy Document;
- Process-Specifications/Equipment Process Data Sheets
- Process description document;
- Process Flow Diagram(s);
- Instrumentation Schedule;
- Design Pressure and Temperature Diagram;
- Material Balance, (if not included above);
- Details of Hazardous Materials (MSDSs);
- Facility Layouts or Plot Plan(s);
- Line Classification Lists;
- Valve Schedule;
- Materials of Construction Diagram(s).
- Equipment List
- Building classification

3.1.5. HAZOP workshop

The procedure follows these general steps:

- Introduction and training;
- System Description;
- Selecting Nodes;
- Description & Design Intent;
- Examining Deviations;
- Identifying Causes;
- Evaluating Consequences;
- Considering Safeguards;
- Generating Recommendations

The Scribe should record:

- The description of the elements or nodes and its design intent;
- Causes and consequences from each unacceptable deviation;
- Resolved problems with their solution;
- Unresolved problems, and the person nominated to arrange for resolution outside the workshop (this may be assigned after the session);

- It is highly recommended that the number associated with each recommendation be recorded on the drawing to highlight or clarify the intended location for the change.
- Where appropriate, the solution should be marked up on the drawing using a red pen. Generally the reporting is by exception so that no record is kept of a discussion where no problem was found.

3.1.5.1. Introduction and Training

The facilitator outlines the study procedure and broad outline of the agenda and timeframe at the first workshop and provides extra detail on the process if any member has not previously taken part in a HAZOP workshop. This should take 5 to 10 minutes and cover the following points:

- Objectives of HAZOP;
- Essential features of HAZOP;
- HAZOP focus on identifying abnormal circumstances which could upset normal operation.

Set the time, date and location of the next workshop before you finish each workshop. Establish a broad agenda so team members have an expectation of the progress required. Review actions/recommendations and progress at the end of each workshop. Ensure every participant gets a copy of the actions as soon as possible after the workshop.

3.1.5.2. System Description

The facilitator should nominate someone with a good understanding of the design to outline the broad purpose of the section of plant covered by the drawing under study, and its normal mode of operation or use. This should be limited to an outline of 5 to 10 minutes. Following this, questions are invited where clarification of the purpose or mode of operation or use is needed, but questions about detail are deferred until later.

3.1.5.3. Selecting Nodes

The detailed study of the first section then starts with the facilitator selecting and marking the first node or element with a highlighter pen, using a dotted line. A description of the selected node, its purpose and operating parameters is then provided and discussed for confirmation. There can be a tendency for the discussion to become random questioning of design features, etc., that should be avoided or stopped as throughout the HAZOP.

The selection of equipment for each node may be undertaken prior to the review.

3.1.5.4. Examining Deviations

A list of appropriate deviations and overview deviations for the particular HAZOP application should be agreed to from the lists contained in section 3.3 Deviations. These deviations are to be used systematically and consistently for every node or element in the review.

The facilitator will use the first deviation to prompt the participants to identify:

- Whether deviations to the behaviour of the intended system could occur and if so, whether these have a significant effect on safety and / or operability;

- How the deviation can impact upon the system;
- What are the possible consequences from the deviation;
- If the existing safeguards are sufficient to control or contain the effects from the deviation;
- Recommendations to address or identify any additional controls to contain the deviation. See 3.1.5.5.

When no further problems are identified for the first deviation, the chairman turns to the next deviation.

When all the first group of deviations (sections 3.3.1.1 and 3.3.1.1) have been used for the first node or element then the facilitator marks that section with the highlighter pen using a continuous line as a sign that that node is studied. The next node is then selected, and marked with a dotted line using the highlighter pen, and the above process is repeated.

When all nodes or elements of the drawing have been covered, the chairman moves to the second group of deviations (section 3.3.1.3) that are used to guide an overview of the whole drawing. When the overview is complete, the chairman signs the drawing as complete, and arranges for issue of the report and for follow up of the outstanding actions. Target dates for the completion and closeout of the HAZOP recommendations should be detailed along with the person responsible for the action.

3.1.5.5. Generating Recommendations

Resolution of an identified problem can be undertaken in the workshop if this can be done efficiently and correctly. If it is apparent that more time will be needed for such things as consultation, research, validation or calculation then the resolution of the issue should be done outside of the study.

Actions or recommendations can take several forms. Some examples are:

- Requests for further information not available to the team such as "Will a particular relief valve handle a certain flow?"
- Note of the need for additional safety features to be engineered such as to add a high-pressure trip system.
- Requests for a quantitative assessment to be carried out for example to confirm that the failure rate of a system is acceptable.
- Requirement of notes/warnings to be added to operating instructions.
- Change of process

3.2. *Recording and Reporting*

Decisions affecting the scope of the review shall be recorded in the HAZOP Report as well as the agreed terms of reference. For instance, it may be agreed to only HAZOP sections of the P&IDs that have been modified as part of the project.

For a small study; such as a small modification to an existing piece of equipment, the minutes may all on one form ([CD-PD-TO-03 HAZOP Record Form](#)). Where several workshops are needed, and many changes are expected, a separate sheet for each identified problem should be used.

The scribe, whose main task is to record the details of the identified problems, and the nature of the agreed solution or investigation to be undertaken, needs to be familiar

with the project and competent to interpret the discussion in deciding the wording to be used in the minutes.

If P&ID drafting errors are observed during the review the facilitator should mark the corrections and make a notation in the minutes.

Where possible, recording safety in design studies using PHA-Pro risk software (or similar) is considered advantageous to aid in the efficient capture of data, arrangement of information and presentation of results.

After each workshop, a copy of the minutes must be sent to those assigned for actions or recommendations. As each action is completed, the resolution or outcome is recorded by the person responsible. These outcomes must be forwarded to the person responsible for monitoring the study. All action items or recommendations must be closed out by the end of the project.

The level of recording is defined by the HAZOP team leader but may involve input from the project manager or client. The team should record as a minimum:

- Executive summary and general comments
- Critical scenarios currently uncovered by safeguards;
- Date and time of the HAZOP;
- HAZOP attendees;
- List of P& ID's and design conditions if appropriate;
- Colored PIDs used during workshop scanned
- List of nodes and deviations considered;
- List of causes, consequences, hazards;
- List of recommendations or action items and persons responsible

The HAZOP report should include the above information plus details of the methodology including the deviations used. The format may be project specific but should include necessary background to enable the reader to understand the scope and context for the Study.

All registers are to be updated by the Design Manager after the workshop:

- [CD-PD-TO-03 HAZOP Record Form](#)
- [CD-PD-TO-04 HAZOP and CHAIR Workshop Register](#)
- [CD-PD-TO-05 HAZOP and CHAIR Recommendations Register](#)

3.3. *Deviations*

The deviation guideword lists are separated in two parts. The first set of deviations is applied to the P&ID nodes or elements, while the second set is applied at the end of the review for each drawing to assess the overall unit.

There is nothing special about any particular set of deviations. There are many variations in use but they all have a common factor: they prompt discussion about all significant types of deviation from all the required "parameters" such as speed, level, load, sequence, and so on. Hence, when planning a HAZOP for an unusual design, the leader (preferably in discussion with others) should identify the important

parameters and modify the deviations as necessary to ensure that all significant issues will be discussed.

It is better to have too many deviations than too few. If a particular deviation is inapplicable in a particular case, it can be passed over with no loss of time.

3.3.1. Typical Deviation Guidewords

3.3.1.1. Process Plant Guidewords

	Deviation	Guide word	Parameter
	High Flow/High Level	High	Flow
	Low Flow/No Level	Low/No	Flow
	Reverse/ Misdirected Flow	Reverse/Misdirected	Flow
	High Pressure	High	Pressure
	Low Pressure	Low	Pressure
	High Temperature	High	Temperature
	Low Temperature	Low	Temperature
	Contaminants	As well as	Composition
	Cavitation	As well as	Performance
	Leak/Rupture	As well as	Flow
	Process Control		
	Electrical Safety		
	Maintenance		

3.3.1.2. Mechanical Plant Guidewords

	Deviation	Guide word	Parameter
1	High, low, reverse speed	High, low, reverse	Speed
2	High, low level	High, low	Level
3	Over-load, under-load	Over-load, under-load	Load
4	Wrong horizontal/vertical location	Wrong horizontal vertical location	Location
5	Direction to one side, upwards, downwards,	To one side, upwards, downwards, reverse	Direction
6	Timing too early, too late; stop too early, too late; duration; sequence	Start too early, too late; stop too early, too late; duration; sequence.	Timing
7	High, low force	High, low	Force
8	High, low, vacuum pressure	High, low, vacuum	Pressure
9	High, low temperature	High, low	Temperature

10	Inappropriate concentration, impurities, cross-contamination, side reactions, inspection and testing,	Concentration, impurities, cross-contamination, side reactions, inspection and testing	Quality
11	Damage from impact, dropping, vibration	Impact, dropping, vibration	Physical damage
12	Lack of control from response speed, sensor and display locations, interlocks	Response speed, sensor and display locations, interlocks	Control
13	Lack of protection from response speed, independence, testing.	Response speed, independence, testing	Protection

3.3.1.3. Typical Overview Deviations

	Deviation	Meaning
1	Materials of construction:	Suitability for abnormal conditions, corrosion, erosion, wear.
2	Services needed	Air, nitrogen, water, steam, power etc.
3	Commissioning	Authorities, training, supervision, compliance checking.
4	Start up	Sequence, problems
5	Shutdown	Isolation, purging.
6	Breakdown	Loss of services, "fail safe" response, emergency procedures.
7	Electrical safety	Area classification, electrostatic discharge,
8	Fire & explosion	Prevention, detection, protection, control.
	Toxicity	Acute, long term. Adequacy of ventilation
10	Environmental	Effluent: gaseous, liquid, solid. Noise. Monitoring.
11	Access	For operation, maintenance, means of escape.
12	Testing	Raw materials, products, equipment, alarms and trips.
13	Safety equipment	Personal equipment, fixed safety equipment.
14	Output	Sources of unreliability, bottlenecks.
15	Efficiency	Potential for loss of material or performance

3.4. Follow up and closing

HAZOP action close-out is to be controlled by the Design Manager and reported individually using the [CD-PD-TO-06 HAZOP and CHAIR Closing Form](#). The design manager needs to update the action status on [CD-PD-TO-05 HAZOP and CHAIR Recommendations Register](#).

The HAZOP closing form need to contain adequate design information to demonstrate that the design has incorporated the changes / elements agreed for the closing of the HAZOP recommendations. The Design Manager must sign the document as a verifier.

Filling of document is to be as per record management.

Appendices

[CD-PD-TO-02 HAZOP Minutes Template](#)

[CD-PD-TO-03 HAZOP Record Form](#)

[CD-PD-TO-04 HAZOP and CHAIR Workshop Register](#)

[CD-PD-TO-05 HAZOP and CHAIR Recommendations Register](#)

[CD-PD-TO-06 HAZOP and CHAIR Closing Form](#)